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The paper presents an extensive review investigating the practical aspects related to the use of single-number ratings used in describing the sound insulation performance of partition wall panels and practical complications encountered in precise measurements in extensive frequency range from 50 Hz to 5 kHz. SWOT analysis of various single number ratings is described. A laboratory investigation on a double wall partition panel combination revealed the significant dependence of STC rating on transmission loss at 125 Hz attributed to 8 dB rule. An investigation conducted on devising alternative spectrums of aircraft noise, traffic noise, vehicular horn noise and elevated metro train noise as an extension to ISO 717-1 C_tr for ascertaining the sound insulation properties of materials exclusively towards these noise sources revealed that the single-number rating $R_w + C_{tr}$ calculated using ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$ gives the minimum sound insulation, when compared with $R_w + C_x$ calculated using the alternative spectrums of aircraft noise, traffic noise, etc., which means that material provides a higher sound insulation to the other noise sources. It is also observed that spectrum adaptation term $C_x$ calculated using the spectrum of noise sources having high sound pressure levels in lower frequencies decreases as compared to ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$ owing to significant dependence of $C_{tr}$ at lower frequencies.

Keywords: Sound Transmission Loss (TL), sound transmission class (STC), spectrum adaptation terms ($C, C_{tr}$), ISO 717-1, weighted sound reduction index ($R_w$), spectrum adaptation term corresponding to noise source, $C_x$.

1. Introduction

The harmful effects of traffic noise and annoyance caused necessitates the concept of providing better sound insulation in dwellings. Strengthening of the exterior facades of buildings is thus very essential for combating the accentuated ambient noise levels due to vehicular noise. The effective sound insulation has been thus persistently a focus of acoustical engineers towards developing sandwich configurations that provide better sound insulation and are cost effective as well. Transmission Loss (TL) is a performance of sound insulation measured in reverberation chambers. There are varied single-number ratings viz., Sound Transmission Class (STC), Weighted sound reduction index ($R_w$), Outdoor-Indoor Transmission Class (OITC) and adaptation terms $C, C_{tr}$ used for describing the sound insulation properties of partition wall panels used in dwellings, offices, exterior facades, etc. Sound Transmission Class (STC) is an integer rating of how well a building partition attenuates airborne sound used widely to rate interior partitions, ceilings/floors, doors, windows and exterior wall configurations. The STC value is derived from sound attenuation values tested at sixteen standard frequencies from 125 Hz to 4000 Hz. These sound transmission-loss values are plotted versus frequency and the resulting curve is compared to a standard reference contour subject to that the sum of deficiencies at all frequency cannot exceed 32 dB and TL value at any one frequency cannot be more than 8 dB below the STC contour. The STC value is defined as TL value where the STC contour intersects the 500 Hz line (ASTM E413-87, 1999). There are various other ratings used in the similar context.
viz., weighted sound reduction index, $R_w$ and Outdoor-Indoor Transmission Class, OITC. $R_w$ is used to facilitate the comparison of sound insulation performance of different materials in European continent. STC rating has been described in standard (ASTM E413-87, 1999) to correlate in a general way with subjective impressions of sound transmission for speech, radio, television, and similar sources of noise in offices and buildings, etc., but is inadequate for sound sources such as machinery, industrial processes, bowing allies, power transformers, musical instruments, and transportation noises such as motor vehicles, aircraft and trains, etc. Thus, it is imperative that for sources like transportation noise, machinery noise, etc., a scientific analysis of individual frequency bands is required for characterizing the sound transmission associated with acoustical materials.

The single-number ratings are very crucial in not only describing the acoustical properties of materials but also in deciding the sound insulation regulations required in dwellings. It may be noted that although the sound insulation characteristics as a function of frequency is a true parameter to judge the sound insulation provided by any material, yet the adoption of single number ratings provide an easy guide for comparison and thus finds to be more popular particularly for manufacturers, architects and layman. The single number rating used in laboratory and field measurements are very crucial in describing the sound regulation requirements in building elements. Thus, devising the single number rating based on scientific principles and fulfilling the characteristics listed had been always a major challenge before acousticians:

- Easily understandable, well defined with no pitfalls;
- Address entire frequency range from 50 Hz to 5 kHz;
- Correlate well with subjective perception;
- Shouldn’t have high influence of any particular frequency band either low or high.

The recent studies pertaining to recommendations on a new system of single-number quantities proposed (Scholl et al., 2011; Scholl, Wittstock, 2012) viz., traffic noise sound reduction index, $R_{\text{traffic}}$: living noise sound reduction index, $R_{\text{living}}$ and speech sound reduction index, $R_{\text{speech}}$ is simpler than the existing one and facilitates a clear identification and suitability w.r.t usage of single number quantities for rating the sound insulation in building and of building elements and also harmonizes airborne sound insulation using sound reduction index, $R$ as a common descriptor.

The STC is a precise rating with well defined rules commonly used, but suffers from limitations in case of partition panels with poor low frequency sound insulation. A subjective study of Sound Transmission Class system carried out four decades ago (Clark, 1970) for rating building partitions concluded that the present STC system is overconservative in rating changes in a TL curve and that narrow coincidence type dips are not very important. The limitations in STC rating were cited in literature (Green Glue Company) by illustrating an practical example of two hypothetical poor walls with very bad low frequency performance, but one is STC 32, the other is STC 42. The 125 Hz cut-off leads to some very misleading results. Researchers have tried with various new proposals for a single number rating based on the subjective response in terms of psychoacoustics parameters. Vian et al., 1983 related the subjective ratings of sound insulation to frequency limited (125 Hz to 4 kHz) A-weighted level differences. The subjective judgments of loudness of transmitted sounds were also correlated with simple arithmetic average transmission loss over frequency (Tachibana et al., 1988). Recent research (Gover, Bradley, 2004) had shown the intelligibility of speech from meeting rooms to be well related to frequency weighted signal to noise ratio suggesting possible new wall transmission loss ratings. The two most accurate predictors of the intelligibility of transmitted speech were an arithmetic average transmission loss over the frequencies from 200 Hz to 2.5 kHz and addition of a new spectrum weighting term to $R_w$ that included frequencies from 400 Hz to 2.5 kHz (Park et al., 2008a). An STC measure without an 8-dB rule and an $R_w$ rating with a new spectrum adaptation term were better predictors of annoyance and loudness ratings of speech sounds (Park, Bradley, 2009). The low frequency noise annoyance has been a motivating factor in development of spectrum adaptation terms $C$ and $C_{\text{tr}}$ in ISO 717-1 standard (ISO 717-1, 1996). The spectrum adaptation terms have been included to take into account the different spectra of noise sources: $C$ and $C_{\text{tr}}$ (corresponding to pink noise and road traffic noise) for airborne sound insulation. The standard covers the spectrum adaptation term $C_{\text{tr}}$ which is to be applied when a representative urban traffic noise is assumed as the loading noise. There are various other metrics viz., acoustic insulation factor, $R_{\text{av}}$, etc., proposed by researchers to quantify the sound insulation in terms of single number ratings. In case of a simple approach of using $R_{\text{av}}$ (Koyasu, Tachibana, 1990) in 100–3150 Hz, the metrics has been found to be related satisfactorily to loudness effect between 63 Hz to 125 Hz and 4 kHz although this index doesn’t differentiate between high and low frequency insulation. The representative spectrum chosen for traffic noise in $C_{\text{tr}}$ rating has high variability associated owing to the dependence of traffic noise levels on site and situation specific, heterogenous mix traffic with horn noise component included, vehicular density and percentage of heavy vehicles. It is envisaged that the spectrum adaptation term shall be better correlated in Indian environment if the representative traffic noise spectrum is modified strictly as per the Indian conditions.
2. Practical implications of 8 dB rule

Sound transmission loss measurements in the present work are conducted in Reverberation chambers at National Physical laboratory (PANCHOLY et al., 1977; GARG et al., 2011). The test specimen was mounted in an opening of 1 m² between the source and receiving room. An 100 mm thick partition consisting of two layers of 12.5 mm thick Gypsum board on either side of a 50 mm thick metal frame spaced to get an overall thickness of 100 mm with an air cavity of 50 mm was tested and found to have poor transmission loss characteristics at lower frequencies. Another modification in the same partition panel with attaching the metal partition to Gypsum board via a steel C-stud was tested and found to have better performance in range from 400 Hz to 4 kHz. The experimental results reveal that dip in transmission loss observed in the original sample at high frequencies was significantly arrested with modified C-stud combination. Although the measurement conducted in an opening size of 1 m² in present work is very less as compared to that prescribed in ISO 140-3 standard (1995), yet the relative comparison of two material configurations tested is major point of consideration here for evaluation of the single number rating.

The STC of the original sample was observed to be 34 while that for the new sample, it is calculated to be 35, which creates an ambiguity as there is an appreciable improvement in the TL characteristics as shown in Fig. 1. However, without conforming to the 8 dB rule, the STC is calculated to be 40, which is more practical considering the TL characteristics of both the configurations. The poor transmission loss at 125 Hz thus creates an ambiguity with respect to the characterizing the sound insulation characteristics of partition panels in terms of sound transmission class. It can be observed that with a modified C-stud, although the dip is significantly arrested, yet the STC value has one to one correspondence with the TL at 125 Hz. In case of partition panels having low frequency performance, the STC value has one to one correspondence with the corresponding TL at 125 Hz. The inconsistency attributed due to 8 dB rule in STC calculation is resolved in case of $R_w$ calculation, wherein for the original partition panels, $R_w$ value comes out to be 34 and for the improved configuration, it comes out to be 38.

Another experimentation performed in reverberation chamber for measuring the transmission loss of double glazed window of size 920 mm × 620 mm and aperture size 930 mm × 630 mm showed a strange behavior of STC directly dependent on the transmission loss at lower frequency as shown in Fig. 2 (GARG et al., 2011). This double glazing configuration used clear float glass of various thickness and size 832 mm × 532 mm with edges damped in window frame. It can be observed that the STC value is decreased with pronounced resonance dip observed in case of air and vacuum as compared to argon although the transmission loss curve shows similar behavior in entire frequency range. It is also observed that the STC value strongly depends upon TL at 160 Hz attributed to the 8 dB rule adopted in calculating the STC value. The above ambiguity is resolved in case of $R_w$ value, which comes to be 35 for argon, 34 for air and 33 for vacuum in the gap. The ambiguous behavior of pronounced resonance dip observed in case of vacuum is however beyond the scope of present work.

It is thus evident that STC may create an ambiguity in judgment of the sound insulative characteristics of partition wall panels having poor low frequency performance. The low frequency insulation plays a vital role as most of the noise radiated due transportation systems dominates the lower frequency region. In such cases, it is observed that weighted sound reduction coefficient tries to resolve these issues.

There are numerous such practical examples particularly wherein low frequency resonances are encountered and the STC value may create confusion in the
assessment of sound insulation characteristics. This confusion has been observed to be resolved by consideration of $R_w$ rating. Figure 3 shows the sound transmission loss of sandwich gypsum drywall constructions with 90 mm wood studs at 406 mm on centre and 90 mm blown cellulose fiber insulation in cavity and incremented gypsum layers on each side (Halliwell et al., 1998). It can be observed from Fig. 3 that $R_w$ rating better correlates with the improvement in transmission loss properties associated with the addition of gypsum layers on each side rather than STC rating. So, the 8 dB rule followed to compensate for the poor transmission loss at some frequencies may create confusion in the overall judgement of the actual sound insulation provided by the material and also in relative comparison of sound transmission loss properties of the acoustical materials. A recent subjective survey (Park et al., 2008b) however substantiates the usefulness of 8 dB rule and provides a different subjective perception towards music and speech with 8 dB rule followed. The study reveals that 8 dB rule is useful as it influences low frequency dips in the transmission loss versus frequency characteristics resulting in better prediction of subjective response to sounds with significant low frequency content such as music. The subjective response to speech sounds were observed to be better predicted without 8 dB rule.

Fig. 3. Sound transmission loss of sandwich gypsum drywall constructions with incremented gypsum layers on each side (Halliwell et al., 1998).

3. Comparison of single-number ratings

The varied single-number ratings used for describing the sound transmission loss properties of the partition wall panels have common feature of calculation except for the Outdoor Indoor Transmission Class (OITC). The 8 dB rule is skipped in the $R_w$ method which makes it more reliable and unambiguous for reporting the sound insulation in terms of a single specific number directly proportional to the amount of insulation provide by panel. The spectrum adaptation terms introduced in ISO 717-1 viz., $C$ and $C_{tr}$ value are calculated either from 100 Hz to 3150 Hz or from 50 Hz to 5 kHz. The spectrum adaptation term $C$ pertains to living activities, children playing, railway traffic, highway road traffic, jet aircrafts and factories emitting mainly medium and high frequency noise; while the spectrum adaptation term $C_{tr}$ considers urban traffic noise, railway traffic at low speeds, aircraft, propeller driven, jet aircraft, disco music, etc. (ISO 717-1, 1996). The frequency range used traditionally is 100 to 3150 Hz. For light weight buildings, it is especially important that low frequency spectrum adaptation terms down to 50 Hz are included implying a significantly improved correlation between subjective and objective evaluation (Rasmussen, 2010). $C_{tr}$ significantly concentrates performance outcomes on basis of results at 100 Hz to 160 Hz. The TL at 100 Hz could be often decisive for the final result owing to a high measurement uncertainty attributed to strong $C_{tr}$ emphasis on lower frequencies (Smith et al., 2007). The spectrum adaptation terms are adversely affected for light weight constructions and a high variability of around 9 dB average for $C_{50-3150}$ is observed caused by 50 Hz adaptation term (Rasmussen, 2010). Lang (1997) and Goydke et al., (2003) also point out uncertainty value associated with $C_{tr}$ to be much higher. It is thus imperative that wide usage of the single-number rating along with spectrum adaptation terms also imply the need for calculation of associated uncertainties. Wittstock (2007) investigations in this regard reveals that the calculation of the uncertainty of single number ratings from third-octave band sound insulation is possible. The recent study at PTB Germany (Scholl et al., 2011) shows that uncertainties are no general obstacle for including third-octave bands with centre frequencies from 50 to 80 Hz into the single-number rating. Another aspect regarding the variability of results after interchanging the source and receiving rooms was investigated by Warnock (2004). The investigations reveal that STC and OITC rating are largely affected by changing the test direction i.e. interchanging the source and receiving room, while $R_w$ is largely unaffected. Table 1 shows the SWOT (Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats) analysis of these standard matrices with reference to their standards published and findings of various studies (Smith et al., 2003; Patterson, 2004; Fitzell, Fricke, 2004; Rasmussen, Rindel, 2010).

An investigation carried out to correlate the STC and $R_w$ rating of sound transmission loss of 25 gypsum board walls (Halliwell et al., 1998) leads to a very interesting conclusion on linear relationship between the two ratings. The linear relationship for exclusively gypsum partition panels is observed to be best fit as:

$$R_w = 0.8596 \times STC + 7.7962,$$

$$r^2 = 0.97,$$  

(1)
Table 1. SWOT analysis of different single number ratings for sound transmission loss measurement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Single number ratings</th>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weakness</th>
<th>Opportunities</th>
<th>Threats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sound Transmission Class (STC)</td>
<td>Simple and easy to calculate, widely used</td>
<td>8 dB rule sometimes gives misleading results, low frequency below 125 Hz not addressed</td>
<td>Widely used amongst manufacturers and architects</td>
<td>8 dB rule sometimes results in confusion especially in cases wherein low frequency resonances are encountered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor Indoor Transmission Class (OITC)</td>
<td>Suitable for walls, doors, windows; low frequency upto 80 Hz is included</td>
<td>Low frequency below 80 Hz not included</td>
<td>Used in walls, doors and windows</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Sound Reduction Index ($R_w$)</td>
<td>Simple and easy to calculate, widely used</td>
<td>Low frequency below 100 Hz not included</td>
<td>Widely used amongst manufacturers and architects. $R_w$ in conjunction with spectrum adaptation terms is used in building regulations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum adaptation term, $R_w + C$</td>
<td>Spectrum adaptation term $C$ is analogous to A-weighting as it is calculated from A-weighting spectrum</td>
<td>Adversely affected for lightweight constructions and variations are large</td>
<td>Used in sound regulation requirements in some countries</td>
<td>Practical problems in measurements down to 50 Hz. $C_{50-3150}$ is highly influenced by 50 Hz spectrum adaptation term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum adaptation term, $R_w + C_{tr}$</td>
<td>It is applicable for urban road traffic, railway traffic at low speeds, aircraft propeller driven, jet aircraft, disco music and factories emitting mainly low and medium frequency noise</td>
<td>It is not effective in dealing with normal living noise issues and generates too much emphasis at low frequencies</td>
<td>Used in sound regulation requirements in building codes of some countries like Australia, UK, etc.</td>
<td>Practical problem in measurements down to 50 Hz. $C_{tr}$ significantly concentrates performance outcomes on result at 100 Hz to 160 Hz. Variation in measurements of 2–3 dB at lower frequencies can result a significant negative $C_{tr}$ correction value change from −5 to −12 dB.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A further analysis on correlating $R_w$ and $R_w + C_{tr}$ term with STC was done using the sound transmission loss data of 25 gypsum constructions (Halliwell et al., 1998) and 34 facade constructions (Bradley, Birta, 2000) as shown in Fig. 4.

4. Implications of Spectrum Adaptation terms

The spectrum used to calculate $R_w + C_{tr}$ is an average of eighteen road traffic noise spectra from Copenhagen and Gothenburg (NTACOU 061-1987) with mixed urban road traffic at 50 km/h and about 10% of heavy vehicles. So, an investigation was conducted to devise new reference spectrums for calculating the spectrum adaptation terms denoted by $C_x$ as an extension to the existing ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$ for assessing the sound insulation characteristic of materials exclusively towards different noise sources viz., road traffic, aircraft, metro trains, etc. The measurements for traffic noise spectrum were conducted on specific site with average vehicle density between 4000 to 4500 vehicles per hour and dominant horn noise component included. The difference of this spectra with that proposed in ISO 717-1 lies in the fact that considerable sound energy emanated in form of horn noise accentuates the high frequency bands (2.5 kHz to 3.15 kHz) as shown in Fig. 5. Further investigations were conducted...
to record the spectra of aircraft landing while undergoing reversed thrust at a distance of 200 m from runway, spectrum of Delhi metro trains running on elevated track and average spectra of horn noise emanated from various vehicles. The noise spectrums were recorded on Norsonic, Nor 118 sound level analyzer and further analyzed in Nor Xfer software and Nor Review software. These spectrums were then normalized such that their sum is zero in compliance with ISO 717-1 and EN 1793-3 standard (1997). The normalized spectra pertaining to highway traffic noise at 90 km/h and 10% heavy vehicles, aircraft starting and propeller aircraft was taken from the DAVY (2004) work and Nord test method. DAVY (2004) conducted an extensive investigations on evaluating the mean, standard deviation, maximum and minimum values of A-weighted sound level attenuation relative to weighted sound reduction index $R_w$ across 104 sound insulation spectrums for different transportation noise spectra. Nord test method (NT ACOU 061-1987) also prescribes six representative spectrums for evaluation of adaptation term. Figure 5 shows the normalized spectrums of high density traffic with horn noise component included; highway traffic with average speed of 90 km/h and 10% heavy vehicles; metro train noise running on elevated track in Delhi and vehicular horn noise exclusively.

The aircraft starting spectrum (Fig. 6) is extracted from NT ACOU 061-1987 standard, which represents a mean value of 59 starts at Kastrup airport 500 m from runway, while the propeller aircraft spectra is evolved from the mean value of 10 different types of aircrafts starting (DAVY, 2004). It may be noted that these spectrums have been derived from the experimental observations and thus the implications of these spectrums in finally evaluating the sound transmission characteristics in terms of a single number rating is a challenging issue rather than their validation. Recent studies (BURATTI et al., 2010; BURATTI, MORETTI, 2010) have confirmed the validity of the proposed revised spectrums by measuring facade sound insulation index, $D_{2m,vT_w}+C_{tr}$ value for windows and comparing it with A-weighted level abatements. However, this aspect requires further investigations for correlating the single number descriptors with A-weighting although it devalues the low frequency noise.

Sound transmission loss data (HALLIWELL et al., 1998) of 20 gypsum sandwich partition panels was used to evaluate the ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$ and $C_x$ term corresponding to noise sources discussed. Table 2 shows the comparison of average value of $R_w + C_{tr}$ calculated using ISO $C_{tr}$ and $R_w + C_x$ calculated using normalized spectra of other noise sources using the sound transmission loss data from 20 gypsum sandwich construction. These observations reveal that $R_w + C_{tr}$ value is minimum when calculated using the ISO $C_{tr}$ as compared to other noise sources, which implies that the same material provides a higher sound reduction to other noise sources in comparison to traffic noise. It can be inferred from Table 2 that positive deviation of $R_w + C_{tr}$ value is observed for each of these noise sources. These investigations when extended to ascertaining the $R_w + C_{tr}$ value using the energy domain averaged spectrum (Fig. 7) of Rail denoted by Rail Diesel E and decibel domain averaged spectrum denoted by Rail diesel D (DAVY, 2004) reveal an interesting fact that spectrum adaptation term, $C_x$ calculated using the noise sources having high sound pressure level in lower frequencies decrements as compared to ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$ owing to its significant dependence on the lower frequencies. This fact was validated from average $R_w + C_{tr}$ value calculated for 20 gypsum constructions and an average negative deviation of $-8.2$ dB and $-4.7$ dB observed for Rail Diesel E and Rail Diesel D spectra w.r.t ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$. These investigations reveal that for noise sources having high sound pressure level in lower frequencies, the $R_w + C_x$ value is least and even lesser that $R_w + C_{tr}$ of ISO 717-1. The minimum value of $R_w + C_x$ observed for noise sources dominated by high sound pressure levels in low frequency bands in a way justifies its subjective correlation as low frequency noise is perceived as a source of annoyance (RINDEL,
The recommendation included in annex in ISO 140-modes in reverberation chamber (Schroeder et al., 1997). The diffuse field assumption is only valid in medium and high frequency ranges, as the sound field at low frequencies is dominated by few normal modes in reverberation chamber (Schroeder, 1996). The recommendation included in annex in ISO 140-2003) and thus requires special sound insulation measures for its abatement.

These observations thus invite further discussion on applicability of ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$ to other noise sources as well. However, being a general shaped curve, the use of ISO $C_{tr}$ is justified to avoid practical and administrative complications associated with implementation of normalized spectra of each individual noise source and representing the minimum sound insulation that material shall provide amongst all the noise sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C_{tr}$ &amp; $C_x$ [dB] computed with corresponding spectrum</th>
<th>$C_{tr}$</th>
<th>$C_{Horn}$</th>
<th>$C_{AirCraft}$</th>
<th>$C_{Traffic}$</th>
<th>$C_{HighwayTraffic}$</th>
<th>$C_{Metro Train}$</th>
<th>$C_{AirCraft}$</th>
<th>$C_{Propeller}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_w + C_{tr}$</td>
<td>$R_w + C_{tr}$</td>
<td>$R_w + C_{Horn}$</td>
<td>$R_w + C_{AirCraft}$</td>
<td>$R_w + C_{Traffic}$</td>
<td>$R_w + C_{HighwayTraffic}$</td>
<td>$R_w + C_{Metro Train}$</td>
<td>$R_w + C_{AirCraft}$</td>
<td>$R_w + C_{Propeller}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$[\text{dB}]$</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference w.r.t to ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$ [dB]</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>+17.2</td>
<td>+4.1</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>+7.9</td>
<td>+2.8</td>
<td>+3.9</td>
<td>+2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. Normalized spectrums for ascertaining sound insulation performance towards Rail Diesel spectrums (Davy, 2004).

5. Low frequency diffusion issue

The low frequency sound insulation is not only affected by the properties of test wall but also by geometry and dimensions of room-wall-room system (Osipov et al., 1997). The diffuse field assumption is only valid in medium and high frequency ranges, as the sound field at low frequencies is dominated by few normal modes in reverberation chamber (Schroeder, 1996). The recommendation included in annex in ISO 140-

Table 2. Comparison of $R_w + C_{tr}$ value calculated using ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$ spectrum and $R_w + C_x$ calculated using normalized spectra of various other noise sources.
Schroeder frequency which denotes the boundary frequency where there is at least one mode per Hz. In the present case, the number of normal modes $\Delta N$ has the value 21 for $f = 100$ Hz and $\Delta f = 13$ Hz (1/6 octave bandwidth). The Schroeder frequency which denotes the boundary between reverberant room behavior above and discrete room modes is calculated as (Schroeder, 1962; 1996).

$$f_s \approx 3 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha c^3}{4\pi^2 V}},$$

where $\alpha$ is the model overlap. Schroeder has proposed a model overlap $\alpha = 3$. For a damping of $\eta = 5 \times 10^{-3}$ (Nélisse, Nicolas, 1997), the $f_s$ is calculated to be 192 Hz in present case. The above formulation reveals that for achieving a $f_s$ value of 50 Hz, the volume of the room should be of the order 15,000 m$^3$ which is practically impossible. The diffusion of the room increases when the room dimensions are carefully chosen to separate room modes and equalize the frequency response of the room. However, the use of larger reverberation room is restricted by a limit determined by the maximum usable frequency with increasing volume attributed to the increase in dissipation of sound energy during transit between reflections (Principles and Application of Room Acoustics, 1982, p. 327). Thus, it can be inferred that measurements down to 50 Hz requires a systematic approach with optimization of the room dimensions as well as augmenting the state of diffuse field by use of rotator diffusers (ISO 3741, 2010). The inclusion of spectrum adaptation terms in range 50 Hz to 3150 Hz in building sound regulations is an effective measure to resolve this issue and avoid practical complications while testing the laboratory or field transmission loss properties of partition panels.

6. Conclusions

The present work shows a case study of the limitations associated with use of 8 dB rule in calculation of the STC value. The work also points out the practical limitations associated with the measurement environment for precision measurements down to 50 Hz and use of the adaptation terms in extended frequency range of 50 Hz to 5 kHz. Although the performance of the test specimen to pink noise and traffic is exclusively ascertained in $(C, C_{tr})$ matrix, yet there has to be a trade-off in selecting the reverberation chamber volume for catering to larger wavelengths at low frequencies and energy dissipation at higher frequencies. The present work also discusses the suitability of different representative normalized spectrum for ascertaining the sound insulation performance towards aircraft and traffic noise exclusively. It is known that all different kinds of traffic noise have a different spectral content which will further vary with percentage of heavy vehicles particularly for road traffic noise. Thus it is essentially required to devise a general shape curve for derivation of a single number rating representative of all other sources. The normalized spectra for traffic noise including horn noise component shown in fig 5 can serve as substitute for ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$ for adjudging the sound insulation properties of material towards road traffic noise in Indian context. The investigations conducted in this paper justifies the use of ISO 717-1 $C_{tr}$ term for adjudging the sound insulation property of material as it represents the minimum sound insulation that a material will provide when exposed to all kinds of traffic noise viz., air traffic, road noise, railway noise, etc. Besides it facilitates a harmonization in the description of a single-number rating for sound insulation properties of acoustical materials rather than following a country specific spectrum adaptation term $C_x$ so as to avoid any confusion or ambiguity amongst manufacturers and users. The adoption of single number ratings including the spectrum adaptation terms in sound regulation requirements in building elements in Europe necessitates the similar principles and methodology to be followed in Indian perspectives also for harmonization of the sound descriptors for global perspectives and tackling the adverse effects of noise pollution.
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